

Begbroke and Yarnton Green Belt Campaign (BYG)

Deadline 6, BYG: Comments on the Applicant's response to BYG's D4 submissions

The following statements made by the Applicant in its response to our D4 submissions (REP5-005 pages 34-37) require further comment.

1."All information on Cransseta Investments Ltd. is publicly available and can be obtained from pen sources or relevant databases. Such information transparently shows all financial statements of the company. The Applicant strongly denies any irregularity in the origin of funds or any of its business operations".

If such information in respect of Cransseta Investments is publicly available, then - given that the company is currently the source of all funding for the project - it is extraordinary that these financial statements have not been submitted to the ExA. It is notable that the official Cypriot company website appears to show that no financial statements have been filed since 2022.

2. "Julia Lejeune and Yulia Lezhen are two forms of the same name and both are commonly used".

We will merely observe that, as far as we can determine, Ms Lezhen has only used the name Lejeune once, and that was when making the original SolarFive Limited filing at Companies House. In all the other European companies that BYG has found it is always Lezhen. These companies include Cransseta Investments (Cyprus), Mulledmont (Ireland), PVDP (Germany), JBG Power (Germany), Nordian Acquisition (Netherlands), Terasol Energy (Netherlands).

Furthermore, when referring earlier to the name Lezhen in REP3-064 page 11, the Applicant confirmed their explanation that "The spelling of the name follows the transcription from Republic of Cyprus records which are maintained in Greek characters."

It remains the case that the use of two different names at Companies House in respect of filings for SolarFive Limited is a serious matter.

3. "The Applicant developed 1GW solar generation in Japan".

The Applicant still confuses the issue by using the term "developed" as though it includes construction. Peter Gerstmann and the late Dmitry Glukhov were indeed

involved in creating sites for solar development in Japan. But there is no evidence that this involved any *construction* of those sites. BYG set out a clear summary of their track record in our original submission RR-0092 which demonstrated this. The Applicant's detail in REP5-005 pages 34-37 does nothing to indicate that our summary and conclusion are incorrect. Their latest summary, when read carefully, fails to specifically confirm that PVDP itself was involved in any construction and is merely a list of background details of little relevance.

BYG stands by the summary in RR-0092. For the Applicant to convince us that they were involved in any construction, it would be necessary prove that the details in our summary are incorrect.